UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Northern California IBEW 595-NECA Trus	et
Funds, et al.	CASE NO. C06-07596 CW
Plaintiff(s),	
V. MRM Electrical Communications, Inc., et a	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have following stipulation pursuant to Civil	e met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the vil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the	e following ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitrat Early Neutral Evaluat ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R.)	tion (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably more likely to meet their	arly settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is reeds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ocal Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR (please	identify process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR set the presumptive deadle referring the case to a	ession by: line (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadli	ne
•	
Dated: 2/23/07	Christine S. Hwang /s/ Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 2/23/07	Roger Mason /s/ Attorney for Defendant MRM Electrical; MRM VAN Hook Platte River Ins. Co.
	Alan Wilhelmy /s/ Attorney for Defendant Hensel Phelps; Travelers
	Harold P. Smith /s/ Attorney for Defendant ISI Controls,

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

x Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

x 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

2/28/07	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE